## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BARLEY SNYDER 101 LINDENWOOD DRIVE SUITE 100 MALVERN, PA 19355

MAILED
OCT 2 7 2011
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

John L. Beiswenger, et al.

Application No. 10/599,344

Filed: September 26, 2006

Attorney Docket No.: 48501-901

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed September 14, 2011, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

## The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Director may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C) and (D). The instant petition lacks item 1.

It is noted that an amendment in response to the final Office action mailed June 3, 2010, was included with the petition filed on September 14, 2011; however, the amendment is considered non-responsive, since it did not place the application in condition for allowance. See the attached Advisory Action. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive this application must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, or the filing of a submission under 37 CFR 114 (RCE) or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(A)(2).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

**Customer Service Window** 

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

By Internet:

EFS-Web<sup>1</sup>

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

/SDB/

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Attachment: Advisory Action

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs\_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/599,344	BEISWENGER ET A	BEISWENGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Bobby Soriano	3769			

Defore the rining of all Appeal Differ	Examiner	Art Unit	4
	Bobby Soriano	3769	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THI		<u>-</u>	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further cort (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	owable if submitted in a separate, t		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-9.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	el and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ul>		•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/SAM YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769	/Bobby Soriano/ Examiner, Art Unit 3769		